MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

AUSTIN PAIN ASSOCIATES 2501 W WILLIAM CANNON DR STE 401 AUSTIN TX 78745

Respondent Name

STATE OFFICE OF RISK MANAGEMENT

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number: 45

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-12-2293-01

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary as stated in the request for reconsideration: "I am in receipt of the denial for the attached claim which states that medical necessity was not shown for the services provided. It is in fact medically necessary to randomly perform UDS as part of our pain management treatment plan; we have prescribed our patients Opioid, narcotics or pain relievers, or other prescribed controlled substances. Due to the fact that these medications have a potential serious side effect, or the potential for misuse, abuse and diversion, we perform UDS on random patients as part of their on-going treatment."

Amount in Dispute: \$1,458.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: The Office performed an in-depth review of the disputed charges and claim file and determined that the necessity for drug screenings has not been established. The injured employee is being tested every month with no medical documentation to support the every month testing regime. The ODG indicates before ordering, clinicians should be clear as to the indication for use... If the decision is made to obtain urine drug testing, the following frequency is recommended based on limited research on this topic. Standard testing for patients considered low risk for adverse events or drug misuse generally require random testing at not more than twice a year. Those patients at intermediate risk are recommended to have random testing 3 to 4 times per year. Patients at high risk for adverse events/misuse may at a frequency of every other and even every visit. In review of this claim the injured employee is being tested every month with no documentation to indicate that she is a high risk for adverse events or misuse of medications."

Response Submitted by: State Office of Risk Management, PO Box 13777, Austin, TX 78711

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

| Dates of Service | Disputed Services | Amount In Dispute | Amount Due |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| October 17, 2011 | CPT Codes 80154, 82542, 82742, 86805 | \$1,458.00 | \$0.00 |

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305 sets forth general provisions regarding dispute of medical bills.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.308 sets out the procedures for requesting review by an Independent Review Organization (IRO).
- 4. This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on March 5, 2012.
- 5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes: Explanation of benefits dated February 10, 2012:
 - 50 These are non-covered services because this is not deemed a 'medical necessity' by the payor.
 - 193 Original payment decision is being maintained. This claim was processed properly the first time.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Was the request for medical fee dispute resolution filed in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305 and §133.307?
- 2. Are the disputed services eligible for medical fee dispute resolution under 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307?

Findings

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305(a)(4) defines a medical fee dispute as a dispute that involves an amount of payment for non-network health care rendered to an injured employee (employee) for health care determined to be medically necessary and appropriate for treatment of that employee's compensable injury. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133,305(b) requires that "If a dispute regarding compensability, extent of injury, liability, or medical necessity exists for the same service for which there is a medical fee dispute, the disputes regarding compensability, extent of injury, liability or medical necessity shall be resolved prior to the submission of a medical fee dispute for the same services in accordance with Labor Code §413.031 and 408.021." 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(e)(3)(G) requires that if the request contains an unresolved adverse determination of medical necessity, the Division shall notify the parties of the review requirements pursuant to §133.308 of this subchapter (relating to MDR by Independent Review Organizations) and will dismiss the request in accordance with the process outlined in §133.305 of this subchapter (relating to MDR--General). The appropriate dispute process for unresolved issues of medical necessity requires the filing of a request for review by an Independent Review Organization (IRO) pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.308 prior to requesting medical fee dispute resolution. Review of the submitted documentation finds that there are unresolved issues of medical necessity for the same service(s) for which there is a medical fee dispute. No documentation was submitted to support that the issue(s) of medical necessity have been resolved prior to the filing of the request for medical fee dispute resolution.
- 2. The requestor has failed to support that the services are eligible for medical fee dispute resolution pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the requestor has failed to establish that the respondent's denial of payment reasons concerning medical necessity have been resolved through the required dispute resolution process as set forth in Texas Labor Code Chapter 413 prior to the submission of a medical fee dispute request for the same services. Therefore, medical fee dispute resolution staff has no authority to consider and/or order any payment in this medical fee dispute. As a result, no amount is ordered.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the services in dispute.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer Date

April 26, 2012

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.